

SECRET

04275-0316

Executive Registry

25-4470

24 January 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Howard Hunt's Letter to Gene Fodor

[to me] 1/2

1. Attached hereto is a note from Gene Fodor submitting a copy of a letter he received from Howard Hunt relative to the New York Times story which identified Gene Fodor as a CIA agent.

2. In a telephone conversation during the week of 13 January 1975 with CCS/COB, Gene Fodor reported receipt of the letter and expressed his desire to write an answer to Mr. Hunt. It is Mr. Fodor's opinion that the answer should point out to Mr. Hunt that the release of such information could do great harm to Mr. Fodor's relatives in Czechoslovakia. This same theme is repeated in Mr. Fodor's transmittal letter where he asks for an Agency opinion on how to proceed.

3. In answer to Mr. Fodor, CCS/COB telephoned him on 23 January and gave the opinion that a simple acknowledgment letter was the best course of action if he insisted upon giving some type of answer to Mr. Hunt. CCS/COB discouraged Mr. Fodor in his answer to Mr. Hunt from dealing with any of the subjects raised in Mr. Hunt's letter, and Mr. Fodor made the comment that perhaps a simple sterile acknowledgment would suffice. It is CCS/COB's opinion that he will proceed along those lines.

4. Mr. Fodor is concerned about possible testimony before a Senate committee, as suggested in Mr. Hunt's letter. He says that he has forgotten a number of facts about his Agency relationship, and wonders if he will have an opportunity to review files or receive a briefing in the event that he must testify. CCS/COB said that we would have to deal with that whole problem at such time as he was called to testify.

5. Mr. Fodor has received inquiries from many places in the world where his books are read and sold, and he claims that his cooperation with the Agency has already been announced on the radio in Czechoslovakia. This latter fact is of great concern to him because he fears that something will happen to his relatives in

SECRET

2-019532

SECRET

that country. One favorable note is that the British owners of his company do not seem to be exercised or upset about the news release.



William H. Koehler  
Chief, CCS/COB

Attachment: h/w  
13 Jan 75 letter from  
Howard Hunt & Fodor's note

CCS/COB/WHKoehler:db

Distribution:

- Orig - DDO then return to C/CCS and CCS/COB
- 1 - FODOR File (201-234047)
- 1 - Chrono
- 1 - Reading Board

SECRET



BOX 784

LITCHFIELD, CONNECTICUT 06759

OFFICE OF THE EDITOR

(203) JD 7-8784

Dear Sir,

here is a copy of H's letter. I still feel, I ought to let him know how he endangered the safety of my family with his 'revelations'; if only to prevent further disclosures & other public controversy.

I could tone down my remarks by giving him credit for not realizing, I still had family ties behind the Iron Curtain...

Please, let me know what you think.

Thanks, Jim

HOWARD HUNT

13 January 1975

Mr. Eugene Fodor  
Litchfield, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Fodor:

I want you to know that I greatly regret the embarrassment caused you by the New York Times' revelation of my executive session testimony given in confidence to the Ervin Committee more than a year ago. Senator Baker and Minority Counsel Thompson gave me assurances that I was authorized to reveal classified CIA matters to the Select Committee, and I did so on the assumption that the testimony would not be publicly revealed.

A UPI story of today's date quotes you as stating that you and I never met, or had any dealings, and that of course is not accurate.

Unless the Agency has destroyed the files of all CIA projects with which I was involved there should be a record of at least one meeting between you and me at a CIA [redacted] office in Washington's [redacted]. I mention this by way of refreshing your memory, for I expect that both of us may be summoned before the CIA Investigating Committee within the near future.

Again, it was not my desire that you suffer in any way because of your relationship with CIA and our late mutual friend, Charlie. Leaking classified information is both illegal and reprehensible whether done by Daniel Ellsberg or a Senate Committee staff member; it also seems to be a non-prosecutable offense, particularly when the recipient is the New York Times.

Sincerely,



cc: William A. Snyder, Jr., Esq.  
Baltimore, Maryland